

Alex Chen Graded
alex.chen@techcorp.io

ROLE
AI-native Developer

DATE
10 Mar 2026

TOTAL SCORE
85.8%



RECOMMENDATION: STRONG HIRE

Alex demonstrated excellent depth across MCP tooling and AI agent architecture. Responses were specific, practical, and showed real hands-on experience. Recommend advancing to the final round.

STRENGTHS

- + Clear mental model of MCP architecture with real implementation experience
- + Strong grasp of agent patterns (ReAct) and when to apply them
- + Pragmatic engineering judgment — starts simple, adds complexity only when needed

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

- Could expand on error handling strategies in MCP server implementations
- Did not discuss context window management or token optimization
- Agent evaluation and observability not addressed

TOPIC PERFORMANCE



INTERVIEW TRANSCRIPT

- Bot**
Hey there, how are you? I'm your AI interviewer. Are you ready for the interview?
- Alex Chen**
Hi there, I'm doing well. I'm ready for the interview.
- Bot**
Awesome. Let's start with MCP. What is the Model Context Protocol and why does it matter?

 **Alex Chen**
MCP standardizes how AI models connect to external tools and data sources. Instead of building custom integrations for every tool, you get one universal protocol — think USB-C for AI. You have servers that expose tools and resources, and clients inside the AI app that connect to them.

 **Bot**
Have you built or configured an MCP server yourself? What did it connect to?

 **Alex Chen**
Yeah, I built one in Python that connected to our Postgres database. It let our Claude-based assistant look up customer records and pull usage stats on demand. I defined clear tool descriptions so the model knew when to call each query, and added row-limit guardrails so it couldn't accidentally pull an entire table.

 **Bot**
Great, let's switch gears. What's the difference between using an AI model directly versus using it as an agent?

 **Alex Chen**
A direct model call is one-shot — you send a prompt, you get a response, done. An agent loops. It reasons about what to do, executes a tool, observes the result, and repeats until the task is complete. That's the ReAct pattern — reasoning plus acting. The key difference is autonomy over multiple steps.

 **Bot**
When would you choose an agent over a simpler approach?

 **Alex Chen**
So if the task has multiple steps — like searching a codebase, reading files, making edits, then running tests — that's agent territory. But for a simple one-shot question, a direct call is way faster. I always start with the simplest approach and only reach for an agent when the task actually needs that autonomy.

Full transcript available in the non-demo report